Executive	Registry
13-6	71

OGC Has Reviewed

6 February 1973

MEMORANDUM FOR:	Director of Central Intelligence
SUBJECT:	Protection of Classified Information

1. This memorandum is for your information.

STATOTHR

- 3. Like the head of any agency, you are also responsible for protecting Agency information which is classified in accordance with the terms of Executive Order 11652, which goes beyond the pure source-and-method problem. Here again the traditional statutes provide the only sanctions.
- 4. Experience has shown that existing law does not provide a very effective enforcement tool. In the event of prosecution, the two basic statutes require demonstration that the information involved relates to the national defense and security. This is a question for the jury and must be produced in open court. We, thereby, authenticate the very information we are trying to protect. These laws also require either proof of intent or reason to believe that the information will be used to harm the U. S. or aid a foreign power. Again this is a question for the jury and is one in which proof is usually very difficult.

- 5. There are several provisions for specialized situations. Thus, the Atomic Energy Act makes the revelation of Restricted Data a crime but only if it is done with intent to injure the U. S. or secure an advantage from any foreign nation or with reason to believe that either of these will happen. Again, a statute defines Communications Intelligence as a category, and here all that is needed is to prove that it was knowingly and willfully transmitted to an unauthorized person. These two have never been fully tested in court. There is also a provision known as the Scarbeck statute, named after an individual who was prosecuted thereunder. makes the knowing revelation of classified information a crime but applies only to Government employees who transmit such classified information to an agent of a foreign power. The opinion in the Scarbeck case provides that the prosecution must only prove that the material was classified. The court further held that whether the material was properly classified was not an issue to be considered by the court. For some years we have been advocating an amendment to the Scarbeck statute which would make it apply to any employee or former employee who gave classified information to an unauthorized person. This, we believe, would be quite an effective too, but our best judgment has been that this Agency should not get out in front in seeking such authority.
- 6. A couple of years ago a Commission was set up to study a complete revision of the Federal Criminal Code, and we started to work with it in the areas pertaining to the protection of information. The Commission's work, including some of our suggestions, went to a Department of Justice Task Unit (established by direction of the President), with which we have been working for the past year. Its draft legislation, which was sent to OMB, was an improvement but still fell short of what we felt was needed. On 1 February we sent Mr. Rommel a letter accompanied by our suggested changes to the Department of Justice's draft. The Department of Defense independently made almost the same recommendations. I attach our comments and the sections of law which are under consideration. A new point is contained in section 1126 which provides for injunction to restrain violations. This is similar to the injunction authority in the Atomic Energy Act, but this as you may know has never been properly tested in the courts. However, if this proposed legislation passed in the form we recommend, it would be of very material assistance to the enforcement of the Director's responsibility for protection of information.

- 7. Separate from this general legislation, we are drafting legislation which would identify a category of "Intelligence Data" somewhat similar to the categories of Communications Intelligence and Restricted Data. Again we are without the guidance of court precedents, but we feel it could be another useful tool. This would be by an amendment to the National Security Act, which would place the bill with the two Armed Services Committees.
- 8. The general legislation will probably be introduced this spring but may become the subject of protracted debate. Our own provision concerning "Intelligence Data" will have to be discussed with our Subcommittees. It may be preferable to combine it with the general legislation which will be before the Judiciary Committees.

STATINTL

LAWRENCE R. HOUSTON General Counsel

Attachment

cc: Executive Director

OLC

D/Security

1 FEB 1973

Mr. Wilfred H. Rommel
Assistant Director for
Legislative Reference
Office of Management and Budget
Washington, D. C. 20503

Dear Mr. Rommel:

This is in reply to your request of 17 January 1973 for our views on the Department of Justice's draft revision of Title 18, United States Code.

Enclosed are specific recommendations for changes in Chapter 2 entitled "Federal Criminal Jurisdiction," and in Chapter 11 entitled "Offenses Involving National Security." We are not commenting on the other Chapters of the draft revision, believing that they are generally outside the purview of this Agency's interest.

This Agency has been working for many years on the issues dealt with in Chapter 11, first with the Brown Commission and later with the Justice Department Task Unit. The focus of our work in this connection has been on those problems which are of particular interest to this Agency and to the intelligence community in general. The Brown Commission adopted a number of our suggestions for improvement in the laws in this respect. Further suggestions were incorporated by the Task Unit in the instant draft revision.

We believe that enactment of Chapter 11 with the changes as we have suggested in the enclosure would constitute a most significant improvement in the laws protecting national security information. Consequently, we strongly recommend support of the provisions of Chapter 11 with the changes we have suggested.

	21	ncei	rery,	
٠	Tohn	M	Moures	

Legislative Counsel

STATINTL

Enclosure

Central Intelligence Agency Comments On

Justice Department Draft: Revision of Title 18, U.S. Code

Section 204, Extraterritorial Jursidiction of the United States.

We believe this section is too limited in that it fails to provide jurisdiction in cases of serious offenses against the United States if these offenses are committed abroad. This is particularly true of offenses against the national security. While subsection (b) provides extraterritorial jursidiction in cases of treason, espionage and sabotage, it does not completely cover such closely related offenses as disclosing and mishandling of national security information (sections 1122 and 1123) and disclosing or unlawfully obtaining classified information (sections 1124 and 1125). As a minimum we would want to see extraterritorial jurisdiction extended to offenses under sections 1122 to 1125 and think that it might be prudent to extend it to all offenses under Chapter 11.

Section 1121, Espionage. We question the need for subsection (b)(1)(B) and feel that the traditional distinction between wartime and peacetime offenses is sufficient for the distinction between Class A felonies and lesser offenses. We are also concerned with the language of this subsection which in some places is vague and probably would become more so with technological advances. Terms such as "major" weapons systems or "major" element of defense strategy or "large scale" enemy attack are incapable of precise definition and subject

to argumentative interpretation in the case of prosecution. We also feel that the listing of particular objects of espionage as being more needful of protection through a higher grading of the felony invites nitpicking and "favorite cause" additions in the legislative process. Furthermore, it is our view that the more serious nature of the information in its effect on the United States can more appropriately be considered in connection with the sentencing process in the courts if the individual has been found guilty. However, if this subsection is to remain, we think there is a serious deficiency in the failure to include intelligence sources and methods as being worthy of this greater protection.

Section 1126, Definitions.

a. The offenses under sections 1121, 1122 and 1123 relate to "National Security Information." We would prefer to see these offenses limited to classified national security information.

Classified information is specifically defined by Executive Order or statute and is clearly recognizable as having been classified.

We do not believe there has been a successful prosecution for an offense involving national security information which was not classified information. We feel that the possibility of the need for prosecution of an offense involving unclassified national security information is too remote, and the difficulties of prosecution too great.

Approved For Release 2002/05/17 - 201A-RDP75-00793R000100270010-9

- b. We think the attempted definition of "information relating to the national security" in section 1126(b)(8) is questionable and subject to severe criticism, particularly in its attempt to cover information, whether or not classified, which has not been officially disclosed to the public. This definition may be interpreted as an attempt to create an "Official Secrets Act" and will provide ammunition for critics of the proposed legislation who certainly will argue that it is a potential infringement on freedom of the press and freedom of information in general. Limiting offenses to those involving classified national security information would remove this difficulty in definition.
- c. In addition, we think that the mere fact of nondisclosure of information in an official manner whether by Congress or by a public servant is much too broad since there is a great deal of material which is unclassified but which has simply not gone through the process of being officially disclosed.
- d. Consequently, we would recommend that section 1126(b)(8) read as follows:

"Information relating to the national security"
means classified information which relates to the

national defense or to the conduct of foreign relations, including:"

e. It is to be noted that we also have deleted the words
"involving the national defense" in the Department of Justice
draft after the words "conduct of foreign relations." There
can be many foreign relations matters which require the
protection of classification and the laws which would not
necessarily involve the national defense.

Extraterritorial Jurisdiction the United States.

Except as otherwise expressly provided by statute or treaty, the circumstances under which the United States has extraterritorial jurisdiction over an offense described in this title include the following:

- (a) the offense is a crime of violence and the victim or intended victim is a United States official;
- (b) the offense is treason, espionage, or sabotage against the United States;
- (c) the offense consists of a forgery or counterfeiting; uttering of forged copies or counterfeits; issuing without authority, seals, currency, instruments of credit, stamps, passports, or public documents issued by the United States; perjury or a false statement in an official proceeding of the United States; a false statement in a matter within the jurisdiction of the government of the United States; bribery or graft involving a public servant of the United States; or other fraud against the United States, or theft of property in which the United States has an interest; or, if committed by a national or resident of the United States, any other obstruction of or interference with a United States government function;
- (d) the offense is committed in whole or in part within the United States and the accused participates outside the United States, or the offense constitutes an attempt, conspiracy, or solicitation to commit an offense within the United States;
- (e) the offense involves entry of persons or property into the United States;
- (f) the offense is committed by a federal public servant who is outside the territory of the United States because of his official duties or by a member of his household residing abroad or by a person accompanying the military forces of the United States;

1. Espionage.

- (a) Offense. A person is guilty of an offense, if, with intent that information relating to the national security be used, or with knowledge that it may be used, to the prejudice of the safety or interest of the United States, or to the advantage of a foreign power, he knowingly:
 - (1) communicates such information to a foreign power;
 - (2) obtains or collects such information for a foreign power or with knowledge that it may be communicated to a foreign power; or
 - (3) enters a restricted area with intent to obtain or collect such information for a foreign power or with knowledge that it may be communicated to a foreign power.
 - (b) Grading. An offense under this section is:
 - (1) a Class A felony:
 - (A) if committed during wartime or during a period of national defense emergency declared by the President or the Congress; or
 - (B) if the information directly concerns nuclear weaponry; military space vessels or satellites; early warning systems or other means of defense or retaliation against large scale enemy attack; war plans; communications intelligence or cryptographic information; or any other major weapons system or major element of defense strategy;
 - (2) a Class B felony in any other case.

Approved For Release 2002/05/17: CIA-RDP75-00793R000100270010-9 Disclosing National Security Information.

- (a) Offense. A person is guilty of an offense if he knowingly communicates information relating to the national security to a person not authorized to receive it.
- (b) Grading. An offense under this section is:
 - a Class C felony if committed during wartime or during a period of national defense emergency declared by the President or the Congress; or
 - (2) a Class D felony in any other case.

Approved For Release 2002/05/17: CIA-RDP75-00793R000100270010-9 Mishandling Nation Security Information.

- (a) Offense. A person is guilty of an offense if:
 - (1) being in possession or control of information relating to the national security, he recklessly permits its loss, destruction, theft, or communication to a person not authorized to receive it;
 - (2) being in authorized possession or control of information relating to the national security:
 - (A) he intentionally fails to deliver it on demand to a public servant of the United States authorized to demand it;
 - (B) he knowingly fails to report promptly, to the agency authorizing him to possess or control such information, its loss, destruction, theft, or communication to a person not authorized to receive it; or
 - (C) he recklessly violates a duty imposed upon him by a statute or executive order, or by a regulation or a rule of the agency authorizing him to possess or control such information, which statute, order, regulation, or rule is designed to safeguard such information; or
 - (3) being in possession or control of information relating to the national security which he is not authorized to possess or retain, he knowingly fails to deliver it promptly to a public servant of the United States entitled to receive it.
- (b) Grading. An offense under this section is: -
 - a Class E felony under the circumstances set forth in subsection (a)(2)(C);
 - (2) a Class D felony in any other case.

4. Disclosing Classified Information. Approved For Release 2002/05/17: CIA-RDP75-00793R000100270010-9

- (a) Offense. A person is guilty of an offense if, being or having been in authorized possession or control of classified information, or having obtained such information as a result of his being or having been a public servant, he knowingly communicates such information to a person not authorized to receive it.
- (b) Exceptions to Liability as an Accomplice or Conspirator. A person not authorized to receive classified information is not subject to prosecution as an accomplice within the meaning of section 401 for an offense under this section, and is not subject to prosecution for conspiracy to commit an offense under this section.
- (c) Defense. It is a defense to a prosecution under this section that the information was communicated only to a regularly constituted committee of the Senate or the House of Representatives of the United States, or a joint committee thereof, pursuant to lawful demand.
- (d) Defense Precluded. It is not a defense to a prosecution under this section that the classified information was improperly classified.
- (e) Grading. An offense under this section is:
 - (1) a Class D felony if the person to whom the information is communicated is an agent of a foreign power;
 - (2) a Class E felony in any other case.

- 25. Unlawfully Obtaining Classified Information.
- (a) Offense. A person is guilty of an offense if, being an agent of a foreign power, he knowingly obtains or collects classified information which, in fact, he is not authorized to receive.
- (b) Defense Precluded. It is not a defense to a prosecution under this section that the classified information was improperly classified.
- (c) Grading. An offense under this section is a Class D felony.

- (a) Injunctions to Restrain Violations. Upon evidence satisfactory to the Attorney General that any person is engaged, or about to be engaged, in any act or practice which constitutes or could constitute a violation of section 1121, 1122, 1123, 1124 or 1125, the Attorney General may bring an action in any district court of the United States to enjoin such act or practice, and, upon a proper showing, a permanent or temporary injunction or restraining order shall be granted by the court together with such other equitable relief as may be appropriate. Any action under this subsection shall be given precedence on the docket over all other causes, shall be heard at the earliest practicable date, and shall otherwise be expedited. Any appeal from the grant or denial of a permanent or temporary injunction or restraining order under this section shall be disposed of as soon as possible, but under any circumstances not later than 30 days from the filing of such appeal.
- (b) Definitions. As used in sections 1121 through 1125:
 - "agent" includes, in the case of a nation, a citizen or subject thereof;
 - (2) "authorized," when used in relation to the receipt, possession, or control of classified information or information relating to the national security, means with authority to have access to, to receive, to possess, or to control such information as a result of the provisions of a statute or executive order, or a regulation or rule thereunder, or as a result of position as a public servant;
 - (3) "classified information" means any information, regardless of its origin, which is marked or designated pursuant to the provisions of a statute or executive order, or a regulation or rule thereunder, as information requiring a specific degree of protection against unauthorized disclosure for reasons of national security;
 - (4) "communicate" means to impart information, to transfer information, or otherwise to make information available by any means, to a person or to the general public;
 - (5) "communications intelligence information" means information:
 - (A) regarding any procedures and methods used by the United States or any foreign power in the interception of communications and the obtaining of information from such communications by other than the intended recipients;

- (b) regarding the use, design, construction, maintenance or repair of any device or apparatus used, or prepared or planned for use, by the United States or any foreign power in the interception of communications and the obtaining of information from such communications by other than the intended recipients; or
- (C) obtained by use of the procedures or methods described in paragraph (5)(A), or by a device or apparatus described in paragraph (5)(B);
- (6) "cryptographic information" means information:
 - (A) regarding the nature, preparation, use or interpretation of any code, cipher, cryptographic system, or any other method of any nature used for the purpose of disguising or concealing the contents or significance or means of communications, whether of the United States or a foreign power;
 - (B) regarding the use, design, construction, maintenance, or repair of any device or apparatus used, or prepared or planned for use, for cryptographic purposes, by the United States or by a foreign power; or
 - (C) obtained by interpreting an original communication by the United States or by a foreign power which was in the form of a code or cipher or which was transmitted by means of a cryptographic system or any other method of any nature used for the purpose of disguising or concealing the contents or significance or means of communications;

高の世紀の世界のアメルシストライン

- (7) "information" includes any property from which information may be obtained;
- (8) "information relating to the national security" means information, regardless of its origin, which has not been officially disclosed to the public by authority of Congress or by the lawful act of a public servant, and which relates to the national defense or to the conduct of foreign relations involving the national defense, including:
 - (A) the military capability of the United States or of a nation at war with a nation with which the United States is at war;
 - (B) military planning or operations of the United States;

Approved For Release 2002/05/17: CIA-RDP75-00793R000100270010-9 (C) military communications of the United States;

- (D) military installations of the United States;
- (E) military weaponry, weapons development, or weapons research of the United States;
- (F) restricted data as defined in section 11 of the Atomic Energy Act of 1954, as amended (42 U.S.C. 2014);
- (G) intelligence of the United States, and information relating to intelligence operations, activities, plans, estimates, analyses, sources, and methods, of the United States;
- (H) communications intelligence information or cryptographic information as defined in subsection (b)(5) or (b)(6); or
- in time of war, any other matter involving the security of the United States which might be useful to the enemy;
- (9) "restricted area" means any area of land, water, air, or space, which includes any facility of the United States, or of a contractor or subcontractor with or for the United States, to which access is restricted pursuant to a statute or executive order, or a regulation or rule thereunder, for reasons of national security.

6 February 1973

MEMORANDUM FOR: Director of Central Intelligence

SUBJECT:

Protection of Classified Information

	1.	This memorandum is for your information.	
			STATOTHR

- 3. Like the head of any agency, you are also responsible for protecting Agency information which is classified in accordance with the terms of Executive Order 11652, which goes beyond the pure source-and-method problem. Here again the traditional statutes provide the only sanctions.
- 4. Experience has shown that existing law does not provide a very effective enforcement tool. In the event of prosecution, the two basic statutes require demonstration that the information involved relates to the national defense and security. This is a question for the jury and must be produced in open court. We, thereby, authenticate the very information we are trying to protect. These laws also require either proof of intent or reason to believe that the information will be used to harm the U. S. or aid a foreign power. Again this is a question for the jury and is one in which proof is usually very difficult.

- 5. There are several provisions for specialized situations. Thus, the Atomic Energy Act makes the revelation of Restricted Data a crime but only if it is done with intent to injure the U. S. or secure an advantage from any foreign nation or with reason to believe that either of these will happen. Again, a statute defines Communications Intelligence as a category, and here all that is needed is to prove that it was knowingly and willfully transmitted to an unauthorized person. These two have never been fully tested in court. There is also a provision known as the Scarbeck statute, named after an individual who was prosecuted thereunder. This makes the knowing revelation of classified information a crime but applies only to Government employees who transmit such classified information to an agent of a foreign power. The opinion in the Scarbeck case provides that the prosecution must only prove that the material was classified. The court further held that whether the material was properly classified was not an issue to be considered by the court. For some years we have been advocating an amendment to the Scarbeck statute which would make it apply to any employee or former employee who gave classified information to an unauthorized person. This, we believe, would be quite an effective too, but our best judgment has been that this Agency should not get out in front in seeking such authority.
- 6. A couple of years ago a Commission was set up to study a complete revision of the Federal Criminal Code, and we started to work with it in the areas pertaining to the protection of information. The Commission's work, including some of our suggestions, went to a Department of Justice Task Unit (established by direction of the President), with which we have been working for the past year. Its draft legislation, which was sent to OMB, was an improvement but still fell short of what we felt was needed. On 1 February we sent Mr. Rommel a letter accompanied by our suggested changes to the Department of Justice's draft. The Department of Defense independently made almost the same recommendations. I attach our comments and the sections of law which are under consideration. A new point is contained in section 1126 which provides for injunction to restrain violations. This is similar to the injunction authority in the Atomic Energy Act, but this as you may know has never been properly tested in the courts. However, if this proposed legislation passed in the form we recommend, it would be of very material assistance to the enforcement of the Director's responsibility for protection of information.

- 7. Separate from this general legislation, we are drafting legislation which would identify a category of "Intelligence Data" somewhat similar to the categories of Communications Intelligence and Restricted Data. Again we are without the guidance of court precedents, but we feel it could be another useful tool. This would be by an amendment to the National Security Act, which would place the bill with the two Armed Services Committees.
- 8. The general legislation will probably be introduced this spring but may become the subject of protracted debate. Our own provision concerning "Intelligence Data" will have to be discussed with our Subcommittees as it may be preferable to combine it with the general legislation which will be before the Judiciary Committees.

STATINTL

LAWRENCE R. HOUSTON General Counsel

Attachment OGC:LRH:jeb

cc: Executive Director

OLC

D/Security

OGC chrono

✓ subject CIA Acts and Statutes

Note for File:

Attachment - 1 February 1973 letter from Legislative Counsel to OMB re Department of Justice's draft revision of Title 18

ANH

Excerpt from Morning Meeting Minutes 5 February 1973

In response to the Director's question, Maury, Thuermer, and the Executive Director highlighted our concerns and action taken to date with respect to an article New York Times correspondent Seymour Hersh is working on that is apt to expose sensitive intelligence operations. Houston noted that the DCI has a statutory responsibility to protect sources and methods, and efforts are under way to enhance enforcement by rewriting portions of the Criminal Code. Our suggested revisions to the Code are with OMB. The Director suggested that Brigadier General Scowcroft be briefed on this matter. (ACTION: General Counsel to prepare briefing paper)

	UNCLASSIFIED		CLASSIE ATION CONFIDEN			SECRET
	OFFI	CIA	L ROUTING	SLIP	•	
0	NAME AN	D AD	DRESS	DATE	=	INITIALS
	Executive Director					
	The Director					
;						
,						
	ACTION		DIRECT REPLY	PRE	PARE	REPLY
	APPROVAL		DISPATCH			ENDATION
	COMMENT		FILE	RET	URN	
	CONCURRENCE		INFORMATION	SIG	NATUI	RF
en	narks:	d ie	an attempt			
no la		ngua		to des	crit	oe in
no la	Attache ontechnical lar aw pertaining t	ngua	ige the curre	to descent sta	crit tus fied	oe in of the
no la	Attache ontechnical lar aw pertaining t	ngua	age the curre	to descent sta	crit tus fied	oe in of the
no la	Attache ontechnical lar w pertaining to formation.	ngua o p	age the curre	to descent staclassi	crik tus fied	oe in of the
no la	Attache ontechnical lar w pertaining to formation.	igua o p	the current to the cu	to descent staclassing ce R.	crik tus fied	oe in of the
no la ir	Attache ontechnical lar w pertaining to formation.	engua To produce de la composición della composi	Lawren	to descent staclassing ce R.	crik tus fied	oe in of the

NOTE ATTACHED TO MEMORANDUM DATED 6 FEBRUARY 1973

Approved For Release 2002/05/17 : CIA-RDP75-00793R000100270010-9

STATINTL

*	UN	CLASSIFIED		CQ DEN	TIAL	SECRE	
\pp	rove	ed For Rele	ease 2002	/05/17 : Ø	A-RDP75	00793R0	09100270
		EXEC	UTIVE	SECRET.	ARIAT		*
			Routi	ng Slip	*		
го:		:	ACTION	INFO	DATE	INITIAL	
	1	DCI	-11		3 1 2 2		
	2	DDCI		7.4 X	X X	1.00	
	3	S/MC	. 16		in the second		
i	4	DDS&T	4 -			1. 1 ter	
	5	DDI			This Ka		
	6	DDM&S	, 1 2-		A. S. Dark	12.45	3.4
	7	DDO 🖟					
	8	D/DCI/IC			A fighting to		
	9	D/DCI/NIO					93. 30.
	10	OGC	V				
	11	orc		12-367	6 5 4 34 1		
	12	IG	1	- N	19 4 8 A		

Remarks: | Date

Remarks: | When you pury |

Waynet & Speech verther

Manual.

Compt D/Pers D/S

DTR
Asst/DCI
AO/DCI

15

STATINTL '

NOTE ATTACHED TO MEMORANDUM DATED 6 FEBRUARY 1973